

Annual Environmental Performance Report

EMP title	Dingo Gas and Surprise Oil Fields Environmental Management Plan
Unique EMP ID	CTP2-2
EMP approval date	3 July 2020
AEPR period	3 July 2024 to 19 June 2025 (commencement of Amadeus EMP)
Petroleum title number/s	L6 and L7


The information to be included in this template may be used by the Minister for Environment, Climate Change and Water Security to assess whether an interest holder is meeting the environmental outcomes, environmental performance standards and obligations and commitments made in an approved Environment Management Plan (EMP).

This form must be completed in accordance with the instruction and guidance provided in the Onshore Petroleum Annual Environmental Performance Reporting Guideline available on the [Onshore Gas website](#).

Document title	Annual Environmental Performance Report
----------------	---

Version Control (to be completed by interest holder)

Date	Rev	Reason for Amendment	Author	Checked	Approved
18-Jul-25	1	Initial submission	Environmental Specialist	Risk & HSE Manager	Risk & HSE Manager

Declaration	
<p>A person with legal authority to sign on behalf of the interest holder, or all interest holders (if more than one), must sign the declaration.</p> <p>I hereby declare that I:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">am authorised to make this declaration.confirm that, to the best of my knowledge all information provided addresses the relevant matters and is true, correct, complete, and does not contain misleading information.am aware that it is an offence under section 107 of the <i>Petroleum Act 1984</i> to give an authorised person information that I know, or ought to reasonably know, to be false or misleading in a material manner particular.understand that all information supplied as part of this form, including attachments, may be disclosed publicly in accordance with section 62A of the <i>Petroleum Act 1984</i>, and consistent with the requirements of the Information Privacy Principles (IPPs) in the <i>Information Act 2002</i>.	
Signature	
Name of person signing on behalf of interest holder/s	Jason Roebig
Position	Risk and HSE Manager
Company	Central Petroleum
Address	Level 7, 369 Ann St, Brisbane Qld 4000

Acronyms / Terms	
AAPA	Aboriginal Areas Protection Authority
AEPR	Annual Environmental Performance Report
CLC	Central Land Council
Code	Code of Practice: Onshore Petroleum Activities in the Northern Territory
CP	Central Petroleum Limited
CTP	Central Treatment Plant
CLC	Central Land Council
DEPWS	Department of Environment, Parks and Water Security (NT)
DITT	Department of Industry, Tourism and Trade (NT)
DGF	Dingo Gas Field
EMP	Environment Management Plan
EP	Exploration Permit
EPA	Environment Protection Authority
EPS	Environmental Performance Standard
ESS	Eastern Satellite Station
FEMP	Field Environment Management Plan
GIS	Geographic Information System
HSE	Health, Safety and Environment
Interest Holder	Means a person who holds a petroleum interest for a regulated activity.
Minister	Minister for Environment, Climate Change and Water Security
NEMP	National Environment Management Plan
NORMS	Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials
NPI	National Pollution Inventory
NT	Northern Territory
Operator	Means a person designated as operator under section 16(3)(g) of the <i>Petroleum Act 1984</i>
L6	Operating Licence Six
L7	Operating Licence Seven
PL2	Pipeline Licence Two
Regulations	Petroleum (Environment) Regulations 2016 (NT)
SOF	Surprise Oil Field
TO	Traditional Owner

Contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Sources of information to inform performance	2
1.2. Performance indicators.....	2
1.3. Evidence used to assess performance	1
2. Demonstration of performance	2
3. Overall performance	18
3.1. Overview of non-compliant items.....	18

Tables

Table 1: Performance descriptors	2
Table 2: Compliance with Ministerial approval conditions	2
Table 3: Compliance with environmental outcomes and environmental performance standards	3
Table 4: Compliance with mandatory monitoring and reporting requirements	14
Table 5: Performance summary	18
Table 6: Overview of non-compliance	18

1. Introduction

The Petroleum (Environment) Regulations 2016 (NT) (the Regulations) require an interest holder to provide a report to the Minister for Environment, Climate Change and Water Security (the Minister) on no less than an annual basis that outlines the environmental performance of the interest holder.¹ The report, termed the Annual Environmental Performance Report (AEPR), must include sufficient information to allow the Minister to assess whether the interest holder has met the environmental outcomes and environmental performance standards included in the approved Environment Management Plan (EMP) with reference to information required to be recorded, monitored or reported under the Regulations and any other law in force in the NT related to the conduct of the regulated activity.

Approved Environment Management Plan Details	
EMP title	Dingo Gas and Surprise Oil Fields Environmental Management Plan
Unique EMP ID	CTP2-2
EMP approval date	3 July 2020
AEPR period	3 July 2024 to 19 June 2025 (commencement of Amadeus EMP)
Petroleum title number/s	L6 & L7
Regulation 22 Notices (insert more rows if needed)	
Date Acknowledged	Scope
N/A	N/A
Regulation 23 Notices (insert more rows if needed)	
Date Acknowledged	Scope
N/A	N/A
Location of Regulated Activity	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Figure attached showing location of regulated activity
Regulated activities conducted during the reporting period (list regulated activities conducted, add or remove rows as required)	
DINGO	
1	Civil Maintenance: Existing access tracks, well lease pads, hardstand areas, gas flowline connecting existing wells
2	Well management including shutdown and operational maintenance, function testing, workover, suspension, and decommissioning.
3	Storage, handling and transportation of chemicals, dangerous goods, hazardous materials and substances
4	Waste Management
5	Weed management and control
6	Rehabilitation activities
7	Environmental Monitoring including Asset Integrity, Chemical and hazardous materials, Waste, Erosion and sedimentation, Decommissioning and rehabilitation, Biosecurity, Biodiversity, Bushfire prevention, Groundwater, Air quality, Traffic and transport, Community, Cultural heritage and sacred sites, Soil, Surface water.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gantt chart attached showing the period each regulated activity listed above was conducted

¹ Petroleum (Environment) Regulations 2016 (NT) sch 1, item 11.

SURPRISE	
1	Civil maintenance including road, tracks, well lease pads, gas flowline right of ways and lease maintenance.
2	Well management including shutdown and operational maintenance, function testing, decommissioning.
3	Storage, handling and transportation of chemicals, dangerous goods, hazardous materials, and substances.
4	Groundwater extraction
5	Waste management
6	Weed management and control.
7	Rehabilitation activities.
8	Environmental monitoring including Asset integrity, Chemical and hazardous materials, Waste, Erosion and sedimentation, Decommissioning and rehabilitation, Biosecurity, Biodiversity, Bushfire prevention, Groundwater, Air quality, Traffic and transport, Community, Cultural heritage and sacred sites, Soil, Surface water.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Gantt chart attached showing the period each regulated activity listed above was conducted (Attachment 2).

1.1. Sources of information to inform performance

Information evaluated to inform compliance status	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Compliance with Ministerial approval conditions
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Compliance with each environmental outcome and environmental performance standard within the approved EMP
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Compliance with reporting requirements in accordance with the Code and Regulations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recordable and reportable incidents within the reporting period, including root cause analysis and related corrective actions to prevent re-occurrence
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Findings of regulatory inspections and audits within the reporting period and related actions to address any findings
Other	N/A

1.2. Performance indicators

Table 1: Performance descriptors

Performance Status	Description
Compliant	Compliant with requirement for entire 12-month reporting period
Not Compliant	Interest holder did not comply with the requirement fully or at all during the reporting period
Not Applicable	Requirement not applicable during the reporting period

1.3. Evidence used to assess performance

Please indicate which sources of evidence have been used to demonstrate compliance.

Evidence used to inform compliance status	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Interest holder self-assessments of compliance, through daily and weekly inspections, as committed to in the EMP
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Interest holder self-assessments of compliance, through internal audits, as committed to in the EMP
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Interest holder self-assessments of compliance, through external audits conducted by third parties
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outcomes of inspections and/or audits conducted by the regulator
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Spill register entries
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Monitoring or other reports provided to DEPWS, the Department of Industry, Tourism and Trade (DITT) and other government agencies as required by the EMP
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outcomes of monitoring programs
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Measurement criteria identified in the approved EMP
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inspections, as committed to in the EMP.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Incident management system records
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Quarterly environmental inspections (Dingo)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Quarterly environmental inspections (Surprise)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Area and lease inspection reports
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Work management and maintenance system records
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Daily production reports
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Various registers in place including animal control, waste, hazardous goods, chemical, weed control
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reports provided under the <i>National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007</i>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outcome from regulatory inspections conducted by the Department of Environment, Parks, and Water Security (DEPWS), Petroleum Operations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recordable and reportable incident reports submitted to DEPWS Petroleum Operations
Other	Internal tracking of compliance by CP daily pre-start meeting outcomes and internal audits

2. Demonstration of performance

Table 2 demonstrates interest holder compliance with Ministerial EMP approval conditions.

Table 2: Compliance with Ministerial approval conditions

No	Ministerial Condition	Compliant	Evidence
1.	The Interest Holder must submit to DEPWS, an updated timetable for the regulated activities prior to the commencement of the activity and provide an updated timetable to DEPWS each quarter thereafter. The timetable must include dates for the implementation of commitments and associated hold points, and progressive rehabilitation activities, including proposed dates for commencement of rehabilitation at each of the Dingo Gas Field and the Surprise Oil Field.	Yes	Quarterly Regulated Activity Reports showing actual activities for the quarter and planned work for the forthcoming quarter have been provided on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 3/10/2024 ▪ 20/10/2024 ▪ 01/04/2025 ▪ 01/07/2025
2.	The Interest Holder must submit to DEPWS within three calendar months of the date of this approval, a site-specific Erosion and Sediment Control Plan for each of Dingo Gas Field and Surprise Oil Field, to guide civil maintenance activities and detail corrective actions.	Yes	Site-specific Erosion and Sediment Control Plans for both the DGF and SOF were developed and submitted. DEPWS subsequently issued a letter confirming that the assessed plans meet the requirements of the ministerial condition.
3.	The Interest Holder must submit to DEPWS within one month of the date of this approval a groundwater monitoring plan to demonstrate there is no change in groundwater quality as a result of activities at Dingo Gas Field and Surprise Oil Field. The groundwater monitoring plan must include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ a location map (and associated spatial files) for proposed monitoring bores relative to the respective field ▪ a commitment to commence groundwater monitoring within six months of the date of EMP approval. ▪ a commitment to monitor for the range of analytes specified in clause B.4.17.1 Table 6 of the Code ▪ development of site-specific performance standards for groundwater quality ▪ a commitment to provide groundwater monitoring reports and data to DENR annually. 	Yes	Site-specific groundwater plans for both the DGF and SOF were developed and submitted to DEPWS. The final plans included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ location map of the monitoring bores relative to the respective field ▪ a commitment to commence and undertake groundwater monitoring. ▪ monitoring in accordance with the CoP ▪ a commitment to develop site-specific performance standards for groundwater quality once baseline water quality data was determined. ▪ a commitment to provide groundwater monitoring reports and data to DEPWS annually

No	Ministerial Condition	Compliant	Evidence
4.	The Interest Holder must provide an annual report to DENR on its environmental performance, in accordance with item 11(1)(b) in Schedule 1 of the Regulations. The first report must cover the 12-month period from the date of this approval and be provided within three calendar months of the end of the reporting period. The annual environmental performance report must align with the template prepared by DENR for this purpose and must include a signed declaration by the Interest Holder	Yes	This document fulfils the requirement of the Annual Environmental Performance Report (AEPR).
5.	The Interest Holder must not undertake vegetation clearing outside of the current disturbed footprint and any proposed clearing activities outside of the current disturbance footprint must be presented with geospatial data, at least one month prior to proposed commencement, to DENR Petroleum Operations for consideration under the Regulations;	N/A	No clearing was undertaken during the reporting period

Table 3: Compliance with environmental outcomes and environmental performance standards

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
1.	CP's activities do not impact on the viability of local conservation significant fauna / flora populations	Driving only to occur within infrastructure areas	Yes	Incident management system did not identify any instances of driving outside of infrastructure areas during the reporting period.
		Avoid driving on access tracks within the DGF or SOF after sunset or before sunrise without approval by CP Management	Yes	Incident management system did not identify any instances of unauthorised dawn or dusk driving. Also, Journey Management System (JMS) records didn't identify any non-approved non-daylight hours driving.
		Speed limited on access tracks within the DGF and SOF to 60km/hr	Yes	Incident management system did not identify any instances of vehicles speeding within fields. Speed limits are posted and included in site traffic management plans.
		All personnel attending the DGF, and SOF will be inducted on speed limits and times to avoid driving	Yes	Site induction records identified that all employees and contractors were inducted prior to or upon arrival at site. Incident management system records did not identify any employees and contractors who were not inducted. Induction materials outlines speed limits and times to avoid driving.

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		All vehicles, equipment, and machinery from known weed infested areas are to be cleaned and inspected for weeds prior to attending either the DGF or SOF	N/A	No equipment was mobilised to sites from known weed infested areas, therefore the Environmental Performance Standard (EPS) was not triggered.
		Clearing for maintenance activities is only to occur in previously disturbed areas or areas that do not contain significant flora or is habitat for significant fauna	N/A	No clearing was undertaken during the reporting period; therefore, the EPS was not triggered.
		Weed Management Plan implemented	Yes	The weed management plan has been implemented, through monthly lease area reviews and an annual assessment (May 2025). Weed management includes spraying / removal, as well as training and induction of personnel.
		Evaporation pond is located within the fenced compound	Yes	Monthly (Dingo) and quarterly (Surprise) inspections confirmed that the fencing around the evaporation pond at the DGF / SOF was intact.
2.	CP's activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Avoid and control soil erosion / sedimentation. ▪ Maintain the viability of soil through preventing contamination 	Requirement for erosion and sediment control devices (e.g. berms, sediment fences) is determined during site audits. Where determined from the audit that they are necessary they will be in line with best practice guidelines by DEPWS and IECA	Yes	Area and environmental inspection records confirmed that erosion and sedimentation control devices were in place and compliant with DEPWS and IECA best practice guidelines. Incident management system records identified instances of erosion were remediated and closed out in our maintenance system.
		Avoid driving on access tracks for 24 hours following significant rainfall events (i.e. >10mm in 24 hours)	Yes	Daily toolbox meeting records across the year captured rain events and instructions to all personnel regarding driving conditions and roads and tracks to avoid.

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		Site inspections to be undertaken quarterly and within 5 business days of a significant rainfall event to assess erosion / sedimentation issues	Yes	Quarterly environmental and weekly ² operator inspections and were undertaken and any instances of erosion and sedimentation issues were captured. For any identified remediation necessary work orders were raised and completed in the maintenance system.
		Conduct Job Hazard Analysis for any new task or new use of equipment to ensure appropriate control measures are identified	Yes	Maintenance and permit to work records identified that all new tasks were supported by a job hazard analysis undertaken in planning the job. The analysis includes details of the controls to be implemented to perform the job safely.
		Driving only to occur within infrastructure areas.	Yes	Incident management system did not identify any instances of driving outside of infrastructure areas during the reporting period.
		Portable spill containment equipment (e.g. spill trays) to be available at each of the sites	Yes	Quarterly inspections confirmed that spill containment equipment was available and in use where required.
		Minimal volumes of fuels, oils, and other chemicals to be stored on site while the site is not operational	Yes	Quarterly environmental inspections confirmed that only minimal volumes of fuels, oils and other chemicals were being stored at DGF (operational). No fuels or chemicals are stored at SOF (in care and maintenance).
		Ensure appropriate measures are in place (e.g. lining, bunding) to prevent fluids or other waste chemical and/or hydrocarbon fluids from contaminating land	Yes	DGF inspections confirmed that all chemical and hazardous substances stored on site were in accordance with safety data sheet guidance to avoid any potential land contamination. No hazardous fuels or chemicals are stored at SOF.
		Weekly inspections to be undertaken of facilities and equipment for leaks / spills. Where leaks and spills are identified, corrective actions are raised, and repairs are undertaken in a timeframe commensurate with the risk of environmental harm escalating if not repaired	Yes	Weekly area inspections were undertaken at DGF of all facilities and equipment. With quarterly inspections undertaken at SOF as the facility is not operational. If any remediation was identified, work orders were raised and completed.

² DGF only

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		Implement fuel and chemical handling and storage procedure	Yes	Quarterly environmental inspections and confirmed that only minimal volumes of fuels and other chemicals were being stored at DGF. However, for those stored, procedures are in place. No fuels are stored at SOF.
		Risk assessment of road conditions for heavy vehicle transport will be conducted prior to mobilisation on unsealed roads using detailed weather forecasting	N/A	No heavy vehicles / equipment mobilised to site during the reporting period, therefore the EPS was not triggered.
		Provide spill response kits appropriate for the types of spills possible at each facility	Yes	Quarterly inspections at DGF confirmed that spill containment equipment (e.g. spill trays/spill kits) were available. Spill response kits are kept at Surprise despite being non-operational.
		Follow the appropriate Australian Standards and Code of Practice for the fuel / chemical being stored and used at the facility	Yes	Quarterly inspections confirmed that all chemical and hazardous substances stored on site were in accordance with Australian standards and guidance provided in safety data sheets at DGF. No chemicals or fuels are being stored at Surprise.
		On-site SDS and handling procedures for fuel / chemicals to be available at each facility	Yes	Quarterly inspections confirmed that all chemical and hazardous substances stored on site had safety data sheet available at DGF. No chemicals or fuels are being stored at Surprise.
		Pumps, tanks, and transfer lines are to be located within suitably bunded areas (not applicable to temporary infrastructure)	Yes	Environmental / area inspection and incident management system records did not identify any pumps, tanks, and transfer lines, etc outside of bunded areas.
		Minimum 500mm freeboard to be available within the evaporation pond	Yes	Daily production reports confirmed freeboard remained greater than the minimum 500mm requirement during the reporting period.
		Pond level to be inspected each quarter and after significant rainfall event. Where the freeboard is less than 500mm, it is to be reduced by offsite transportation and disposal by a licensed waste transporter	Yes	Daily production reports confirmed that there was a minimum 500mm freeboard maintained in the evaporation ponds. There was no need to remove excess water during the year. As freeboard is checked daily significant rain events freeboard levels were inadvertently checked post event. SOF is not operational, and the evaporation pond is empty.

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		Wastes stored in secured containers, with liquid wastes stored in a bunded area	Yes	Quarterly environmental / area inspections as well as incident management system records did not identify any waste being stored in unsecured containers or non-bunded areas.
		All personnel attending the DGF, and SOF will be inducted on speed limits, times to avoid driving, correct waste storage, segregation, and disposal	Yes	Site induction records identified that all employees and contractors were inducted prior to or upon arrival at site. Incident management system records did not identify any employees and contractors who were not inducted. Induction materials outline speed limits and times to avoid driving.
		Removal of listed wastes for off-site disposal to be via an NT EPA approved contractor	Yes	All waste removed from site and disposed of was recorded in the waste register. There were no instances identified of listed waste being disposed not in accordance with the NT EPA requirements.
3.	Avoid the discharge of sediment or contaminated surface water into waterways	Requirement for erosion and sediment control devices (e.g. berms, sediment fences) is determined during site audits. Where determined from the audit that they are necessary they will be in line with best practice guidelines by DEPWS and IECA	Yes	Area and environmental inspection records confirmed that erosion and sedimentation control devices were in place and compliant with DEPWS and IECA best practice guidelines. Incident management system records did identify some instances of erosion which were remediated and closed out in our maintenance system.
		Conduct Job Hazard Analysis for any new task or new use of equipment to ensure appropriate control measures are identified	Yes	Maintenance and permit to work records identified that all new tasks were supported by a job hazard analysis undertaken in planning the job. The analysis includes details of the controls to be implemented to perform the job safely.
		Site inspections to be undertaken quarterly and within 5 business days of a significant rainfall event to assess erosion / sedimentation issues	Yes	Quarterly and weekly ³ operator inspections were undertaken, and any instances of erosions and sedimentation issues were captured. For any identified remediation necessary work orders were raised and completed in the maintenance system.

³ DGF only

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		Final constructed surface of infrastructure is above 1 in 100-year flooding events	N/A	No new infrastructure was constructed during the reporting period. Therefore, the EPS was not triggered.
		If water is required from a local groundwater bore approvals will be obtained	N/A	No water was taken from local groundwater bores, therefore the EPS was not triggered.
		Ensure appropriate measures are in place (e.g. lining, bunding) to prevent fluids or other waste chemical and/or hydrocarbon fluids from contaminating water	Yes	Weekly and quarterly inspections at DGF confirmed that all chemical and hazardous substances stored on site were in accordance with safety data sheet guidance to avoid any potential water contamination. All tanks previously containing chemical or hydrocarbons at SOF are located in bunded areas.
		Weekly inspections to be undertaken of facilities and equipment for leaks/spills. Where leaks and spills are identified, corrective actions are raised, and repairs are undertaken in a timeframe commensurate with the risk of environmental harm escalating if not repaired	Yes	Weekly area inspections were undertaken at DGF of all facilities and equipment. With quarterly inspections undertaken at SOF as the facility is not operational. If any remediation was identified work orders were raised and completed.
		Monitor facility pressure for quick identification of any source of leak or rupture	Yes	Wellhead and pipeline pressures were monitored in real time through our production management system. In addition, monthly lease reviews were undertaken at DGF. These reviews are scheduled, and the outcome recorded in our maintenance management system. Well head pressure is monitored quarterly at SOF.
		Well inspections to include tubing and annulus pressures checks and evidence of communication	Yes	Well inspections undertaken on a risk basis during the reporting period include tubing and annulus pressures checks to identify any evidence of potential communication. Area inspection records did not identify any evidence of communication.
		Install, maintain, and routinely test BOPs and related well control equipment on all workover rigs	N/A	No workovers were undertaken during the reporting period; therefore the EPS was not triggered.

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		Well to be managed in accordance with a Well Operation Management Plan (WOMP)	Yes	All wells are being managed in accordance with the current well management plans.
		Wastes stored in secured containers, with liquid wastes stored in a bunded area	Yes	Quarterly environmental / area inspections as well as incident management system records did not identify any waste being stored in unsecured containers or non-bunded areas. Wastes are not stored at SOF
		Waste storage bunded area not located in a flood prone area	Yes	Waste storage areas are not located in areas which were potentially flood prone. This was confirmed during environmental assessment undertaken during EMP development.
		Provide spill response kits appropriate for the types of spills possible at each facility	Yes	Quarterly inspections confirmed that spill containment equipment (e.g. spill trays) were available at each facility.
		Follow the appropriate Australian Standards and Code of Practice for the fuel / chemical being stored and used at the facility	Yes	Quarterly inspections confirmed that all chemical and hazardous substances stored on site were in accordance with Australian standards and guidance provided in safety data sheets.
		Minimum 500mm freeboard to be available within the evaporation pond	Yes	Daily production reports and associated inspections confirmed that there was a minimum 500mm freeboard maintained in the evaporation ponds.
		Pond level to be inspected each quarter and after significant rainfall event. Where the freeboard is less than 500mm, it is to be reduced by offsite transportation and disposal by a licensed waste transporter	Yes	Daily production reports confirmed that there was a minimum 500mm freeboard maintained in the evaporation ponds. There was no need to remove excess water during the year.
		Minimal volumes of fuels, oils, and other chemicals to be stored on site while the site is not operational	Yes	Quarterly environmental / area inspections as well as incident management system records confirmed that only minimal volumes of fuels, oils and other chemicals were being stored. No fuels oils or other chemicals are stored at SOF

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		Wastes stored in secured containers, with liquid wastes stored in a bunded area	Yes	Quarterly environmental / area inspections as well as incident management system records did not identify any waste being stored in unsecured containers or non-bunded areas.
		Pumps, tanks, and transfer lines are to be located within suitably bunded areas (not applicable to temporary infrastructure)	Yes	Quarterly environmental / area inspections and incident management system records did not identify any pumps, tanks, and transfer lines, etc outside of bunded areas.
4.	CP's activities do not create a measurable decrease in air quality at sensitive receptors through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Dust generation ▪ Emissions (gas leaks) 	Implement dust control measures where appropriate including water trucks	N/A	No instances during the reporting period where dust control measures were needed. Therefore, the EPS was not triggered.
		Vegetation in no-use area to be left undisturbed	Yes	No activities were undertaken outside of disturbed areas; hence vegetation was left undisturbed.
		Outside of firebreak areas, Low ground cover (<10cm) to be maintained on low traffic areas and around well heads to maintain fire safety while minimising dust.	Yes	Area inspections records confirmed that fire breaks were maintained to keep at least 4m clear around all infrastructure. If any remediation was identified work orders were raised and completed.
		Speed limited on access tracks within the DGF and SOF to 60km/hr	Yes	Incident management system did not identify any instances of vehicles speeding within fields. Speed limits are posted and included in site traffic management plans.
		Use of non-intrinsically safe material only allowed inside the facilities if accompanied by a gas detector and the appropriate permit	Yes	Maintenance and permit to work records identified that only intrinsically safe material was used in the facilities. All work was supported by a job hazard analysis undertaken when planning the job. The analysis included details of the controls to be implemented to perform the job safely in these cases it included the use of gas detectors.
		Monitor facility pressure for quick identification of any source of leak or rupture	Yes	Wellhead and pipeline pressures were monitored in real time through our production management system. In addition, regular lease reviews (monthly for DGF and quarterly for SOF) were undertaken. These reviews are scheduled, and the outcome recorded in our maintenance management system.

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		Well inspections to include tubing and annulus pressures checks and evidence of communication	Yes	Well inspections undertaken on a risk basis during the reporting period include tubing and annulus pressures checks to identify any evidence of potential communication. Area inspection records did not identify any evidence of communication.
		Install, maintain, and routinely test BOPs and related well control equipment on all workover rigs	N/A	No workovers were undertaken during the reporting period, therefore the EPS was not triggered.
		Well to be managed in accordance with a Well Operation Management Plan	Yes	All wells are being managed in accordance with the current well management plans.
		Weekly inspections to be undertaken of facilities and equipment for leaks/spills. Where leaks and spills are identified, corrective actions are raised, and repairs are undertaken in a timeframe commensurate with the risk of environmental harm escalating if not repaired	Yes	Weekly area inspections were undertaken at Dingo of all facilities and equipment. With quarterly inspections undertaken at Surprise as the facility is not operational. If any remediation was identified work orders were raised and completed.
5.	CP's activities are not the cause of fires in the region.	4m fire breaks maintained around fixed surface assets.	Yes	Area inspections records confirmed that fire breaks were maintained to keep at least 4m clear around all infrastructure. If any remediation was identified work orders were raised and completed.
		Only diesel is to be stored onsite	Yes	Quarterly inspections confirmed that only diesel was being stored onsite. No diesel is stored at DGF
		Smoking is only permitted in designated smoking areas.	Yes	Incident management system records did not identify any instances of smoking outside of designated areas.
		Conduct Job Hazard Analysis for any new task or new use of equipment to ensure appropriate control measures are identified and to take account of variation in fire danger ratings	Yes	Maintenance and permit to work records identified that all new tasks were supported by a job hazard analysis undertaken in planning the job. The analysis includes details of the controls to be implemented to perform the job safely.

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		When attending a site conduct daily toolbox meetings with on-site personnel regarding current fire danger, presence of fire in the area and current vegetation condition	Yes	Fire awareness and preparedness is covered as part of toolbox meetings and as part of the induction. Vegetation condition is noted as part of quarterly inspections.
		Inductions to include information on the designated smoking areas, fire extinguisher locations and emergency response plan.	Yes	Induction provides information to support the emergency response plan, including fire safety.
		Fire extinguisher to be available in any vehicle which visits the DGF or SOF	Yes	Fire extinguishers are standard safety equipment in all CP vehicles. Prestart checklists require fire extinguishers to be present and checked before each journey.
		Hazardous zones for each well determined in accordance with the relevant Australian Standard	Yes	Area / lease inspections records confirmed that all hazardous areas were clearly identified using signage and require specific conditions prior to entry. All hazardous areas are aligned with Australian standards.
		Only equipment authorised within the hazardous zone is used whilst on site	Yes	Job hazard analysis and permit to work orders have been reviewed to ensure only authorised equipment is used in hazardous areas.
6.	CP's activities avoid disturbance to or damage heritage artefacts, sacred sites, or non-indigenous heritage sites	All activities undertaken in accordance with the conditions of the relevant AAPA certificate.	Yes	A review of AAPA certificate conditions identified that there were no activities undertaken outside of the agree scope of works.
		Site inductions to include information on location of known cultural and heritage sites and process for unexpected finds	Yes	Site induction records identified that all employees and contractors were inducted prior to or upon arrival at site. Incident management system records did not identify any employees and contractors who were not inducted. Induction materials include information on cultural and heritage sites and process for unexpected finds.
		Where known cultural heritage sites are within 50m of maintenance earthworks, the site will be delineated with bunting or similar	N/A	No maintenance works were undertaken within 50m of a cultural heritage site. There the EPS was not triggered.
		No earthworks to be conducted after sunset or before sunrise	N/A	A review of the permit to work records did not identify any civil works undertaken outside of daylight hours. Therefore, the EPS was not triggered.

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		Journey management plan approved by CP	Yes	Journey management records for the period confirmed that all applicable journeys were planned and approved prior to commencement.
		Personnel access to the facility and any site/area by permit approved by the CLC and CP	Yes	A review of site access records confirmed all personnel attending site were included under the CLC permit. Site induction records identified that all employees and contractors were inducted prior to or upon arrival at site. Incident management system records did not identify any employees and contractors who were not inducted.
7.	CP's activities do not negatively impact on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Capacity of road infrastructure up to and within the DGF / SOF ▪ Community relationships ▪ Safety risks to the community 	All personnel and site visitors to have appropriate CLC approval and complete the appropriate inductions.	Yes	A review of site access records confirmed all personnel attending site were included under the CP's CLC permit. Site induction records identified that all employees and contractors were inducted prior to or upon arrival at site. Incident management system records did not identify any employees and contractors who were not inducted.
		A full stakeholder consultation log will be maintained by CP	Yes	No activity at Surprise therefore no need for consultation. CP conducts regular engagement with stakeholder at Dingo the details and outcomes recorded in the stakeholder communications log.
		Early and ongoing community consultation and engagement approach	Yes	No activity at Surprise therefore no need for consultation. CP conducts regular engagement with stakeholder at Dingo the details and outcomes recorded in the stakeholder communications log.
		Local communities and stakeholders advised of CP contact number through multiple channels	Yes	CP contact details (phone, fax email) are available on our website. Also prior to any major works notices / flyers are provided to identified stakeholders.
		Consult with surrounding stakeholders when major operations will occur	Yes	Stakeholder communications log records indicated CP's continued engagement with identified stakeholders. Meeting records did not identify any comments related to operations which needed to be assessed or corrective actions implemented.
		CP approved Traffic Management Plan in place	Yes	Traffic management plans are in place and operational for all CP sites.
		Transportation of over-width or over-dimension loads under approved Department of Transport permit	N/A	No heavy vehicles / equipment mobilised to site; therefore the EPS was not triggered.

No	Environmental Outcome	EPS	Compliant	Evidence
		No unauthorised third-party access to infrastructure	Yes	Incident management system records did not identify any instances of unauthorised access to site.
		Routine alcohol and/or drugs testing for anyone attending the sites	Yes	Random drug and alcohol testing was undertaken periodically during the reporting period. A review of incident management system and testing records did not identify any incidents or positive tests.
		Personnel are appropriately licensed to perform works	Yes	All CP personnel are appropriately licenced to perform works. CP pre-qualifies contractors who undertake work on site. All personnel are inducted prior to / or upon arrival at site.
		Journey management plan approved by CP	Yes	Journey management records for the period confirmed that all applicable journeys were planned and approved prior to commencement.

Table 4: Compliance with mandatory monitoring and reporting requirements

No	Reference	Requirement	Compliant	Evidence
1.	Code cl A.3.5	Geospatial information depicting areas cleared is to be provided to the Minister.	N/A	No areas were cleared during the reporting period
2.	Code cl A.3.6 (b)	Weed management plan developed as part of the EMP must provide for ongoing weed monitoring.	Yes	The weed management plan was implemented, and monitoring and management was conducted during the reporting period.
3.	Code cl A.3.7(a)vi	The fire management plan must provide for annual fire mapping.	Yes	The fire management plan includes annual review of the fire mapping. The review was undertaken the risk was reviewed. The risks and mitigations, environmental outcomes, and environmental performance standards in the EMP remain appropriate.
4.	Code cl A.3.9(c) Code cl A.3.9(e)	The rehabilitation plan requires progressive rehabilitation of significantly disturbed land which is required to commence no longer than 12 months following the cessation of activities on the land. It also requires regular maintenance and annual monitoring of rehabilitated areas.	Yes	Monitoring of Surprise Field Rehabilitation was undertaken in May 2025. Monitoring for Dingo Field Rehabilitation is planned for August 2025. Rehabilitation activities have been undertaken within the SOF as per the requirement for progressive rehabilitation under the Code.
5.	Code cl B.4.13.2(c)	As a minimum, the following must be recorded and reported for each stage (where a stage in this context means all fluids pumped at a particular depth interval): a) total volume of hydraulic fracturing fluid pumped,	N/A	No hydraulic fracture stimulation and flowback operations conducted.

No	Reference	Requirement	Compliant	Evidence
		<p>b) quality of water used (tested for analytes in section C.8 of this Code. Analyses do not need to be repeated if the same water source is used for multiple stages), and</p> <p>c) typical and maximum concentrations of chemicals or other substances used.</p>		
6.	Code cl B.4.13.2(k)iv	Where venting is the only technically feasible option for managing produced gas, the technical considerations preventing the use of the recovered gas must be recorded and included in the operator's annual report.	N/A	No venting occurs at either DGF or SOF.
7.	Code cl B.4.14.2(c)	All new barriers or new well operating envelopes must be verified and clearly documented and reported by submission of an updated well barrier integrity validation (WBIV) report to DITT.	Yes	No change in status of WBIVs since submission for SOF in August 2023 and DGF in May 2023.
8.	Code cl B.4.15.2(j)	Complete and accurate records of the entire decommissioning procedure must be kept, with these records submitted as part of the legislative reporting requirements for the decommissioning of petroleum wells.	N/A	No decommissioning of wells was undertaken during the reporting period.
9.	Code cl C.6.1(d)	Wastewater tracking documentation must be reported to the Minister at least annually in accordance with the framework (Spill Management Plan and Wastewater Management Plan) outlined in the EMP	N/A	Wastewater from the DGF is managed at the BECGS Facility and is not covered under the approved FEMP. No wastewater is being produced at the SOF.
10.	Code cl C.7.1(d)ii	Wastewater Management Plan must include a program for monitoring and reporting against the effectiveness of the measures for the mitigation of interaction with wildlife, stock and human receptors with wastewater.	N/A	A wastewater management plan is not required as wastewater as defined under the code is not managed under the approved FEMP.
11.	Code cl D.5.9.2(c)	Emissions from exploration, well construction (including during flowback) and workovers must be measured, and reports submitted.	N/A	The scope of Section D of the Code of Practice is focused on the onshore shale gas activities, not conventional gas activities. Nevertheless, mandated reporting has been conducted where applicable as part of wider reporting programs.

No	Reference	Requirement	Compliant	Evidence
12.	Code cl D.5.9.3(a)	Where natural gas is vented or flared at a gas processing or other downstream facility, emissions must be estimated and reported.	N/A	The scope of Section D of the Code of Practice is focused on the onshore shale gas activities, not conventional gas activities. Nevertheless, mandated reporting has been conducted where applicable as part of wider reporting programs
13.	Reg 33	DEPWS must be notified of reportable incidents within 2 hours of the interest holder becoming aware of the incident, or within 2 hours of the incident occurring. A written report must be provided within 24 hours if the initial report was made orally.	N/A	CP did not have any reportable incidents resulting from activities outlined in the FEMP within the reporting period.
14.	Reg 34	Reports on reportable incidents must be provided to DEPWS as soon as practicable and within 72 hours of the event occurring. A final report must be provided to DEPWS within 30 days after remediation/cleanup of the affected area.	N/A	CP did not have any reportable incidents resulting from activities outlined in the FEMP within the reporting period.
15.	Reg 35	A written report of all recordable incidents must be provided to DEPWS not later than 15 days after the 90-day reporting period (unless otherwise agreed).	Yes	All recordable incidents reports were submitted as required for the approved EMP from commencement of approval as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 11/10/2024 ▪ 07/01/2025 ▪ 04/04/2025 ▪ 08/07/2025
16.	Reg 37A	A report about flowback fluid from hydraulic fracturing must be provided to the Minister within 6 months of the flowback occurring.	N/A	The regulated activity did not include hydraulic fracturing.
17.	Reg 37B	A report about produced water from hydraulic fracturing must be provided to the Minister within 6 months of the produced water being extracted.	N/A	The regulated activity did not include hydraulic fracturing.
18.	NPI Measure 1998 (Cth) s 9 / Waste Management and Pollution	The occupier of each reporting facility is to be required to provide information to the NPI if a reporting threshold for a substance is exceeded	Yes	CP provided NPI reporting on 30/9/2024 for DGF. SOF did not exceed reporting thresholds and was therefore omitted from annual reporting.

No	Reference	Requirement	Compliant	Evidence
	Control Act 2003 (NT)			
19.	National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007 s 19	A registered corporation must provide a report to the Greenhouse and Energy Data Officer relating to the greenhouse gas emissions, energy production and energy consumption from the operation of facilities under the operational control of the corporation and entities that are members of the corporation's group, during that financial year	Yes	Reporting greenhouse gas emissions for the DGF and SOF was undertaken in accordance with the National Greenhouse and Energy Reporting Act 2007 and is pending submission to the Clean Energy Regulator for the FY23 NGERs reporting period (due 31 October 2024).
20.	PER Schedule 1 Item 9(2)	Interest holders are required to conduct future engagement with stakeholders, in accordance with the description in the EMP.	Yes	No activity at Surprise therefore no need for consultation. CP conducts regular engagement with stakeholder at Dingo the details and outcomes recorded in the stakeholder communications log

3. Overall performance

Table 5: Performance summary

Performance Status	Number	Percentage
Compliant	86	73%
Not Compliant	0	0%
Not Applicable	32	27%

3.1. Overview of non-compliant items

Refer to Section 3.11.2 of the AEPR Guideline for further guidance.

Table 6 describes:

- the specific compliance requirements not met for the reporting period
- an analysis of the possible potential environmental harm or impact to environmental values resulting from non-compliance, using multiple lines of evidence
- a summary of the corrective actions already implemented, and further actions still required, as applicable, to ensure compliance is fully achieved in the future.


Table 6: Overview of non-compliance

Overview of non-compliance		
1. Ministerial approval conditions		
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Non-compliance with ministerial approval conditions? If yes, complete the sections below. If more than one instance, copy the rows below for each condition not met. If no, proceed to 2. Environmental Outcomes
2	Condition # and requirement	
3	Summary of non-compliance	
4	Evidence used to detect non-compliance	
5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Environmental harm arising from non-compliance If yes, complete section below. If no, proceed to row 7.
6	If yes, describe nature and scale of impact and actions to remediate and rehabilitate	
7	If no, describe how determined no impact	
8	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Administrative non-compliance
9	If yes, describe nature of non-compliance	

10	Immediate corrective actions implemented	
11	Future corrective actions to prevent reoccurrence	
2. Environmental outcomes		
12	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Non-compliance with environmental outcome?</p> <p>If yes, complete the sections below. If more than one instance, copy the rows below for each outcome not complied with.</p> <p>If no, proceed to 3. Environmental Performance Standards</p>
13	Outcome	
14	Summary of non-compliance	
15	Evidence used to detect non-compliance	
16	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Environmental harm arising from non-compliance</p> <p>If yes, complete section below.</p> <p>If no, proceed to row 18.</p>
17	If yes, describe nature and scale of impact and actions to remediate and rehabilitate	
18	If no, describe how determined no impact	
19	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
20	If yes, describe nature of non-compliance	
21	Immediate corrective actions implemented	
22	Future corrective actions to prevent reoccurrence	
3. Environmental performance standards		
23	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Non-compliance with environmental performance standard?</p> <p>If yes, complete the sections below. If more than one instance, copy the rows below for each environmental performance standard not complied with.</p> <p>If no, proceed to 4. Regulatory Reporting or Record Keeping</p>
24	Environmental performance standard	
25	Summary of non-compliance	
26	Evidence used to detect non-compliance	
27	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<p>Environmental harm arising from non-compliance</p> <p>If yes, complete section below.</p>

	<input type="checkbox"/> No	If no, proceed to row 29.
28	If yes, describe nature and scale of impact and actions to remediate and rehabilitate	
29	If no, describe how determined no impact	
30	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
31	If yes, describe nature of non-compliance	
32	Immediate corrective actions implemented	
33	Future corrective actions to prevent reoccurrence	
4. Regulatory reporting or record keeping		
34	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Non-compliance with regulatory reporting or record keeping? If yes, complete the sections below. If more than one instance, copy the rows below for each regulatory reporting or record keeping regulatory requirement not met. If no, proceed to 5. Monitoring
35	Reporting or recording requirement	
36	Summary of non-compliance	
37	Evidence used to detect non-compliance	
38	Corrective actions implemented to improve reporting and record keeping	
5. Monitoring		
39	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Non-compliance with monitoring requirements? If yes, complete the sections below. If more than one instance, copy the rows below for each monitoring requirement not met.
40	Monitoring requirement	
41	Summary of non-compliance	
42	Evidence used to detect non-compliance	
43	Corrective actions implemented to ensure compliance with monitoring requirements	

Attachment 1: Location of Dingo and Surprise fields



Attachment 2: Regulated Activity Gantt Chart

Regulated Activities	Timetable											
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Dingo												
Civil maintenance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Existing access tracks, well lease pads, hardstand areas ▪ Gas flowline connecting existing wells 	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Well shutdown maintenance activities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Well head maintenance and function testing	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-
Well workover	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Well suspension / decommissioning	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Storage, handling and transportation of chemicals, dangerous goods, hazardous materials, and substances	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Waste Management	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Weed management and control	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Rehabilitation activities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asset Integrity	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Chemical and hazardous materials	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Waste	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Erosion and sedimentation	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Decommissioning and rehabilitation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Biosecurity	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-
Biodiversity	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-
Bushfire prevention	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-
Groundwater	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-
Air quality	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-
Traffic and transport	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-

Annual Environmental Performance Report

Regulated Activities	Timetable											
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Community	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cultural heritage and sacred sites	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Soil	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-
Surface Water	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-
Quarterly incident summary	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Quarterly regulated activity summary	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Annual environmental audit	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Surprise												
Civil maintenance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
▪ Existing roads, access tracks, well lease pads	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Well head maintenance and function testing	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-
Storage, handling and transportation of chemicals, dangerous goods, hazardous materials, and substances	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-
Well decommissioning	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Groundwater extraction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Waste Management	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-
Weed management and control	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Rehabilitation activities	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-
Asset Integrity	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Chemical and hazardous materials	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Waste	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Erosion and sedimentation	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Decommissioning and rehabilitation	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Biosecurity	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Biodiversity	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Bushfire prevention	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-

Annual Environmental Performance Report

Regulated Activities	Timetable											
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Groundwater	-	✓	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-
Air quality	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Traffic and transport	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Community	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Cultural heritage and sacred sites	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Soil	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Surface water	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Quarterly incident summary	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Quarterly regulated activity summary	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-	✓	-	-
Annual environmental audit	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Legend

Executed	✓	Not executed	✘	No activity	-
----------	---	--------------	---	-------------	---